Tools for Prioritizing Food Safety Concerns

Report From Breakout Group 4

JIFSAN Workshop June 4-6, 2007

Bernadene Magnuson, Facilitator

Selection of compounds/agents for inclusion

- 1. Known single agents
- 2. Known complex mixtures
- 3. Unknown agents -
 - -may be added based on observed adverse effect
 - -structural alert
 - -emerging contaminant arises

Conceptual Illustration Relative risk Safe TTC Length of line represents degree of uncertainty C B A around point estimate Chemical or Microbial agent

Relative risk = <u>Exposure (ie. Concentration in foods x consumption of food</u> Hazard assessment (e.g. BMDL, etc) Note – ADI, TTC not relevant for micro-chem comparison

Overall approach – multilevels



Risk characterization – Screening stage • Hazard assessment

- Benchmark dose (BMD), ADI, RfD, TTC, MOE
 - Need common scaler
- Structure/activity relationship
- Exposure assessment
 - Concentration in foods
- Output Yes No decision
 - Not signif public health risk, or need more data or consideration



Considerations for screening step

- Level of certainty ? quality of data points/number of observations
- How low below established ADI, RfD, TTC, tolerance levels? Margin of Safety
- Helpful to have widespread acceptance of approach and levels
- Need unbiased evaluators involved
- Post-analysis and re-visitation needed

Inputs for remaining risks

- Amount in food
 - Analytical methods validated?
 - Food consumption diet, special populations
- Animal tox data;
- Human data preferred epi, use to develop upper bounds if no effect, doseresponse if effect
 - Exposure biomarkers
- Assessment of health outcomes



Risk characterization – Intermediate stage • Hazard assessment

- Primarily using existing data, SAR
- Exposure assessment
 - Primarily using existing data, may need method development
- Outputs
 - Level of Risk or Risk distribution, with uncertainties
 - Data gaps associated with uncertainty –identify data that will achieve maximal reduction of uncertainty

Considerations for intermediate and full assessment

- Data quality issues
- Acute versus Chronic
- Severity of effect
- Frequency in food (one time, reoccurs)
- Target population infants, pregnant, aged
- Scientific agreement



Risk characterization –

- Hazard assessment more detail
- Exposure assessment more detail
- Outputs
 - assess public health impact more accurately (QALY, DALY)
- Additional considerations to note for prioritization of resources
 - Economic impacts, public perception, naturally occurring or contaminant, impact on other risks nutritional risks of avoidance of specific foods



Comparability of risks

- May need to do chem and micro separately to get ranking within class, then merge
- Visibility of effect
- Are you assessing predicted risk or observed?
- Need to generate measure (ie.QALY) that can be applied to both chem and micro, then may allow cross comparison using categorical regression
- Need to consider quality of data

Criteria for Framework to be Acceptable

- KISS
- Transparency
- Scientifically sound
- Established data quality
- Results do they make sense, results are selfconsistent (test or real case checks), "gut check"
- Useful as a decision tool
- Adaptable able to incorporate new data/situations/agent
- International involvement
- Post Review of individual decisions and of overall approach